

Improved Iteration Procedure with Noise Reduction on Image Correction for Soft X-ray Projection Microscopy

Tatsuo SHIINA^{1*}, Jamsranjav ERDENETOGTOKH¹, Yasuhito KINJO², Atsushi ITO³

¹Graduate School of Advanced Integration Science, Chiba Univ., Chiba-shi, Chiba, 263-8522, Japan

²Tokyo Metropolitan Industrial Technol. Res. Inst., Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-0064, Japan

³School of Engineering, Tokai Univ., Hiratsuka-shi, Kanagawa, 259-1292, Japan

Introduction

Projection soft X-ray microscopy has been studied during a decade and gradually improved its coverage to biological specimens. The current problem is the correction of low-contrast images. Especially, when the magnification increases, the contrast of a target image decreases. In the last year, we reported the contrast enhancement for the less-contrast image of chromosome up to the magnification of 219 [1]. In this study, the noise reduction by median filter was applied to the image correction procedure. To apply it, we estimated the noise level quantitatively and simulated the effect of the noise reduction. As a result, the improved correction procedure successfully expanded its coverage up to the magnification of 329.

Improved iteration procedure

The image of projection soft X-ray microscopy is blurred because of beam diffraction by a target. It is theoretically calculated in principle, while the amplitude information is only detected at the CCD screen. The phase information is assumed spherical propagation, and presumed by the iteration procedure. The high contrast and low magnification images are well corrected by only the iteration procedure. The low contrast and high magnification images, however, have not been corrected yet, although the contrast enhancement slightly improved its correction coverage [1]. This time, we found noise level in low contrast image caused undesirable influence on the correction of the non-corrected images. We defined its noise level as the noise size, numbers and height against the target contrast. The simulation was conducted to estimate the optimal noise reduction against the target contrast. The concrete procedure of the noise reduction was median filter. The noise reduction and the contrast enhancement were conducted before the iteration process. The effect was summarized in Table 1. The boundary of low and high magnification is determined by the situation of the non-correction. That is, the former category cannot remove the Fresnel fringe on the corrected image, while the latter category disappears the part of the target images. The improved iteration procedure with the noise reduction and the contrast procedure exceeded the coverage of the correction up to the magnification of 329. The actual burred image correction is shown in Fig.1 and cross-sectional lines show intensity distributions in Fig. 2. The remaining Fresnel fringe on (b) was clearly corrected on (d). As a result, we successfully corrected the low-contrast image in “low magnification category”. The correction of the high magnification image with low contrast is in progress by modification of the image acquisition procedure

Table 1 Image correction table with the iteration procedure.

Process	Magnification						
	Low					High	
	47	66	165	219	329	512	658
① A	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
② B→A	○	○	○	○	×	×	×
③ C→A	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
④ C→B→A	○	○	○	○	○	×	×

A: Iteration, B: Contrast Enhancement, C: Noise Reduction
○: Corrected, ×: non-corrected




Fig.1 Burr Correction of chromosome image.

(a) Projection image (b) Corrected image B→A
(c) Noise Reduction C (d) Corrected image C→B→A




Fig.2 Burr correction on each processes on the images in Fig. 1

References

[1] T. Shiina et al., PF Activity Report 2014 #32 B (2014)
http://pfwww.kek.jp/acr/2014pdf/part_b/pf14b0182.pdf

* shiina@faculty.chiba-u.jp