Superconducting gap of PrFeAsO_{1-y} observed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy

Kenta Hagiwara^{1,*}, Masafumi Horio¹, Keisuke Koshiishi¹, Suguru Nakata¹, Motoyuki Ishikado², Shigeyuki Ishida³, Hiroshi Eisaki³, Shinichi Shamoto⁴, Kanta Ono⁵, Hiroshi Kumigashira⁵, Teppei Yoshida⁶ and Atsushi Fujimori¹

¹Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ²Comprehensive Research Organization for Science and Society, 162-1 Shirakata, Tokai, Naka Ibaraki, 319-1106, Japan

³National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8568, Japan

⁴Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 765-1 Funaishikawa, Tokai, Naka, Ibaraki, 319-1184, Japan

⁵Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-

0801, Japan

⁶Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-nihonmatsu, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

1 Introduction

the 1111-type iron-based superconductors, In superconductivity is realized by electron doping through substituting F for O or Co for Fe, or introducing oxygen deficiencies [1, 2, 3]. According to previous angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies [4, 5, 6], however, the 1111 compounds exhibit heavily holedoped electronic structures for cleaved surfaces, which has been attributed to charge transfer because of the polar surfaces. Superconducting gaps for these surfaces have been reported recently Charnukha et al. [6]. They observed an edge shift around the superconducting critical temperature (T_c) . However, the relationship between the surface electronic structure and the superconductivity has not been clarified yet. Therefore, more detailed knowledge about the superconducting gap at the surface is required.

In this work, we have measured the temperature dependence of the superconducting gap of the 1111 compounds using ARPES in order to understand the superconductivity at the surface.

2 Experiment

High-quality single crystals of the electron-doped superconductor PrFeAsO_{1-y} ($T_c = 24$ K) were synthesized by the high pressure technique as described in Ref. [7]. ARPES measurements were performed at BL-28A of Photon Factory using circularly polarized light with the photon energy of 42.5 eV. A SCIENTA SES-2002 electron analyzer was used. The total energy resolution of ~ 20 meV. The crystals were cleaved *in situ* at T = 8 K. The measurements were carried out in an ultrahigh vacuum of ~ 10⁻¹⁰ Torr.

3 <u>Results and Discussion</u>

Figure 1(a) shows a Fermi surface (FS) mapping for the $PrFeAsO_{1-y}$ at T = 8 K. One can clearly observe a large

circular hole pocket and a middle-size circular hole pocket, referred to as "Outer" and "Inner", respectively. This result is consistent with previous reports [4, 5, 6]. Figure 1(c) shows symmetrized energy distribution curves (EDCs) at the Fermi wave vector (k_F) of the "Outer" FS indicated in Fig. 1(b). One can see that an energy gap opens at low temperature. In order to quantify the energy gap, we have estimated gap depth and the gap area from the symmetrized EDCs and have plotted them in Fig. 1(c). One can clearly see an energy gap opening even above $T_{\rm c}$. There are two possibilities for the gap opening above $T_{\rm c}$. One is that superconductivity at the surface occurs at a higher temperature than the bulk $T_{\rm c}$. Another possibility is that superconductivity at the surface is realized by the proximity effect of the superconducting bulk and a pseudo-gap persists up to ~80 K. To make conclusion about this issue, further investigation is required.



Fig. 1 ARPES results of PrFeAsO_{1-y} ($T_c = 24 \text{ K}$)

(a) Fermi surface mapping at T = 8 K.

- (b) Energy-momentum plot along Cut #1 in Fig. 1 (a).
- (c) Symmetrized EDCs at k_F of the "Outer" FS in Fig. 1 (b).
- (d) Temperature dependence of the gap magnitude.

References

[1] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).

[2] C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, H. Kito, M. T. Fernandez-Diaz, T. Ito, K. Kihou, H. Matsuhata, M. Braden, and K. Yamada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 77, 083704 (2008).

[3] C. Wang, Y. K. Li, Z. W. Zhu, S. Jiang, X. Lin, Y. K. Luo, S. Chi, L. J. Li, Z. Ren, M. He, H. Chen, Y. T. Wang,

Q. Tao, G. H. Cao, and Z. A. Xu, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 054521 (2009)

[4] D. H. Lu, M. Yi, S.-K. Mo, A. S. Erickson, J. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, D. J. Singh, Z. Hussain, T. H. Geballe, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, *Nature* **455**, 81 (2008).

[5] I. Nishii, M. Ishikado, S. Ideta, W. Malaeb, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, Y. Kotani, M. Kubota, K. Ono, M. Yi, D. H. Lu, R. Moore, Z.-X. Shen, A. Iyo, K. Kihou, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, S. Shamoto, and R. Arita *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 014504 (2011).

[6] A. Charnukha, S. Thirupathaiah, V. B. Zabolotnyy, B. Büchner, N. D. Zhigadlo, B. Batlogg, A. N. Yaresko, and S. V. Borisenko *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10392 (2015).

[7] M. Ishikado, S. Shamoto, H. Kito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Ito, and Y. Tomioka, *Physica C* **469**, 901 (2009).

* hagiwara@wyvern.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp