# Crystal structure analysis of a tandem array of two engrailed homeodomains

Yu HIRANO<sup>1</sup>, Tomoko SUNAMI<sup>2</sup>, Hidetoshi KONO<sup>2</sup>, and Taro TAMADA<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Structural Biology Group, National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and

Technology (QST), 2-4 Shirakata, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1106, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Molecular Modeling and Simulation Group, QST, 8-1-7 Umemidai, Kizugawa, Kyoto 629-0215,

Japan

## 1 Introduction

DNA-binding proteins with small molecular sizes are expected to utilize for molecular tools that include genome editing. The engrailed homeodomain (EHD) has a potential to become a molecular module, because it consists of about 60 amino acid residues and recognizes six base pairs. However, the 6bp length is not enough for targeting a particular site in a large genome sequence. Therefore, an array of two EHDs (EHD<sub>2</sub>) connected by glycine linker was constructed to recognize a longer sequence [1]. Although wild-type EHD<sub>2</sub> unexpectedly binds to one EHD target sequence (6bp), the mutant  $(EHD[R53A])_2$  can recognize two EHD target sequences (12bp). To investigate the molecular basis in the DNA recognition, we crystallographic performed X-ray analysis of (EHD[R53A])<sub>2</sub>-DNA complex [2].

#### 2 Experiment

The 12mer DNA with two EHD target sequences (TAATCC)<sub>2</sub> was used for preparing crystals of the (EHD[R53A])<sub>2</sub>-DNA complex. Crystals of the (EHD[R53A])<sub>2</sub>-DNA complex were obtained using the crystallization solution containing PEG4000 as a precipitant. X-ray diffraction experiment was performed at the BL-17A beamline and two diffraction data sets were collected with a single crystal at wavelengths of 0.98 Å and 1.90 Å (Table 1). The data set ( $\lambda = 0.98$  Å) was used for structure determination by the molecular replacement method with the monomeric EHD structure (PDB; 2HDD) as a search model [3]. The other ( $\lambda = 1.90$  Å) was used for building the model of the 12mer DNA based on the anomalous scattering from phosphate atoms in the backbone.

#### 3 Results and Discussion

The final model consists of two EHD[R53A]s and one DNA duplex (Fig. 1). The order of two EHD[R53A]s could not be determined because the glycine linker of  $(EHD[R53A])_2$  was not observed in the electron density map. The entire DNA molecule was modeled with a double conformation guided by the anomalous difference map. Approximately 5% decrease was achieved in both  $R_{work}$  and  $R_{free}$  factors when the DNA model was changed from a single conformation to the double conformation. The final  $R_{work}$  and  $R_{free}$  factors were converged to 18.8% and 23.5%, respectively.

One of the  $(EHD[R53A])_2$  binds to the target region of DNA, but the other is not bound to the target. The target

binding domain conserved the base-specific interactions with the target sequence as observed in the wild-type EHD [3]. The analysis of symmetry-related molecule in the crystal suggests that the crystal packing inhibited the binding to the target sequence for the non-target binding domain. On the other hand, the mutation and DNA-binding studies showed that both domains bind to the target sequence. Therefore, we consider that in solution both domains of the (EHD[R53A])<sub>2</sub> bind to the target sequence in a similar manner as observed in the target binding domain.

Table 1: Diffraction data statistics		
Wavelength (Å)	0.98	1.90
Space group	$P2_{1}$	$P2_{1}$
a, b, c (Å)	39.8, 61.4, 40.0	39.8, 61.5, 40.0
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma(^{\circ})$	90, 106.5, 90	90, 106.5, 90
Resolution (Å)	50-1.6	50-2.6
(highest shell)	(1.63-1.60)	(2.72-2.60)
Completeness (%)	99.9 (100.0)	99.0 (92.3)
Multiplicity	3.4 (3.5)	19.8 (17.5)
< <i>I</i> /σ( <i>I</i> )>	15.7 (2.2)	94.9 (27.8)
$R_{\text{meas}}$ (%)	3.4 (33.8)	7.7 (15.1)
$CC_{1/2}$	0.999 (0.921)	1.000 (0.997)



Fig. 1: Structure of the (EHD[R53A])<sub>2</sub>-DNA complex

#### Acknowledgement

We thank the PF beamline staff for data collection.

### References

- [1] T. Sunami and H. Kono, Protein Sci. 28, 1630 (2019).
- [2] T. Sunami et al., Acta Cryst. D76, 824 (2020).
- [3] L. Tucker-Kellogg et al., Structure 5, 1047 (1997).
- \* tamada.taro@qst.go.jp