Solubility relations of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ and CaSiO₃ perovskites

Kiyoshi FUJINO*¹, Yohei SASAKI¹, Reki ODAWARA¹, Hiroyuki IZUMI¹, Kaushik DAS¹, Naotaka TOMIOKA², Ichiro OHNISHI², Nagayoshi SATA³, Takehiko YAGI³

¹Division of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Hokkaido Univ., Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

²Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Kobe Univ., Kobe 657-8501, Japan

³Institute for Solid States Physics, The Univ. of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8581, Japan

Introduction

(Mg,Fe)SiO₃ and CaSiO₃ perovskites are considered to be major constituent minerals of the lower mantle. Mutual solubilities between these two perovskites affect the mineral composition and physical properties of the lower mantle. However, solubility relations of both perovskites under the deep lower mantle conditions are not clear [1].

To clarify these points, laser-heated diamond anvil cell (DAC) experiments of the system CaMgSi₂O₆ (Di) -CaFeSi₂O₆ (Hd) under the lower mantle conditions combined with analytical electron microscopy (ATEM) of the recovered samples were conducted.

Experimental

Laser-heated DAC experiments were performed at 30-80 GPa, 1700-2200 K using natural and synthetic Ca(Mg,Fe)Si₂O₆ pyroxenes and gels as starting materials.

Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction experiments of the run products were performed at BL-18C or BL-13A at KEK. X-ray diffraction patterns of the run products at high pressures and at atmospheric pressure were collected on an imaging plate detector with the incident beam monochromatized to 20 keV (BL-18C) or 30 keV (BL-13A) and collimated to 15 - 40 µm.

The recovered samples were Ar-ion thinned or sectioned by an ultramicrotome into ATEM foils. Transmission electron images, selected area electron diffraction patterns and chemical compositions of the product phases were obtained using a 200 kV ATEM (JEOL JEM-2010) attached with an energy-dispersive analytical system.

Results and Discussion

The synchrotron X-ray diffraction patterns of the recovered run products combined with ATEM

observations revealed the following high pressure phase relations of this system at around 30 GPa and 1700-2200 K. The coexisting phases are (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovakite $((Mg,Fe)-Pv) + CaSiO_3$ perovskite (Ca-Pv) for Di₁₀₀Hd₀-Di₈₉Hd₁₁ (Mg,Fe)-Pv + Ca-Pv + magnesiowustite + stishovite for $Di_{89}Hd_{11}$ - $Di_{45}Hd_{55}$ and Ca-Pv + magnesiowustite + stishovite for $Di_{45}Hd_{55}$ - Di_0Hd_{100} . The phase relations at around 70 GPa do not seem to differ much from those described above, although they are not yet fully analyzed.

Table 1 shows the compositions of (Mg,Fe)-Pv and Ca-Pv phases of the recovered samples analyzed by ATEM. For the iron-free sample (A-13) at about 30 GPa, the Ca content in MgSiO₃ perovskite is around 1 mole %, and it remained nearly the same with incresing the iron content or pressure. Meanwhile, the Mg content in CaSiO₃ perovskite in A-13 is around 4 mole%, a little higher than the Ca solubility in MgSiO₃ perovskite. But the (Mg,Fe) content in CaSiO₃ perovskite significantly increases to nearly 18 mole% with incresing the iron content at 30 GPa (A-06), and it further increases to nearly 34 mole% at 78 GPa (C-05). The interesting point is that nearly the same amounts of Mg and Fe enter into CaSiO₃ perovskite in the iron containing circumstances.

Above results indicate that both (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ and CaSiO₃ perovskites can survive into the deeper part of the lower mantle, considering the small amount of CaSiO₃ perovskite compared to the large amount of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite in the lower mantle.

References

[1] T. Irifune et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3541 (2000).

*fujino@ep.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Run No. Starting compo. Phase Pressure (GPa)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{A-13} \\ \text{Di}_{100}\text{Hd}_0 \\ \text{Mg-Pv} \\ 29.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} B-02 \\ Di_{75}Hd_{25} \\ Mg-Pv \\ 31.5 \end{array}$	C-01 Di ₁₀₀ Hd ₀ Mg-Pv 60.0	A-13 Di ₁₀₀ Hd ₀ Ca-Pv 29.7	$\begin{array}{c} A-06 \\ Di_{50}Hd_{50} \\ Ca-Pv \\ 29.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{C-05} \\ \text{Di}_{100}\text{Hd}_{0} \\ \text{Ca-Pv} \\ 78.0 \end{array}$
Cation Number (O=3) Mg Si Ca Fe Total	0.958 1.021 0.009 1.988	$\begin{array}{c} 0.828 \\ 1.038 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.094 \\ 1.963 \end{array}$	0.889 1.049 0.013 1.951	0.036 1.059 0.847 1.942	$\begin{array}{c} 0.092 \\ 1.040 \\ 0.741 \\ 0.087 \\ 1.960 \end{array}$	0.182 0.986 0.689 0.158 2.015

Table 1. Compositions of (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ and CaSiO₃ perovskites analyzed by ATEM

 $Mg-Pv = (Mg,Fe)SiO_3$ perovskite, $Ca-Pv = CaSiO_3$ perovskite