## Interference fringe in Bragg-(Bragg)<sup>m</sup>-Laue case

Kenji HIRANO\*1, Tomoe FUKAMACHI1, Masami YOSHIZAWA1, Riichiro NEGISHI1, Keiichi HIRANO<sup>2</sup> and Takaaki KAWAMURA<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Saitama Institute of Technology, 1690 Fusaiji, Fukaya, Saitama 369-0293, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Material Structure Science, KEK-PF, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization,

Oho-machi, Tukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

<sup>3</sup>University of Yamanashi, Kofu, Yamanashi 400-8510, Japan

Interference fringes in diffraction beam from side surface of a Ge finite plane-parallel crystal have been observed when the effective linear absorption coefficient  $\mu$  becomes minimum due to dynamical diffraction effect (Borrmann effect) in Bragg-(Bragg)<sup>m</sup>-Laue (BB<sup>m</sup>L for short) case (Fig.1) [1,2]. In this paper, we report on the observed results and the origin of interference fringe in BB<sup>m</sup>L case.

The experiment was carried out using X-rays from synchrotron radiation at BL-15C KEK-PF. The X-rays were  $\sigma$  polarized and were monochromated using a Si 111 double crystal monochromator and a Ge 220 monochromator. The used X-ray energy was 11100  $\pm 0.5$  eV. The thickness H of a Ge specimen crystal is  $45.5 \pm 2.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ . The intensities of transmitted (P<sub>t</sub>) and diffracted ( $P_h$ ) beams, diffraction beams from side surface in the transmitted  $(P_t)$  and diffracted  $(P_h)$ directions were measured. The photograph of  $P_h$  for 220 reflection (b) and its intensity distribution (black line in (a)) are shown in Fig. 2.

According to dynamical theory of diffraction, the angle of refraction  $\varepsilon$  greatly changes when  $\mu$  becomes minimum. Under the present experimental condition,  $\varepsilon$ changes from zero to approximately the Bragg angle  $\theta_{B}$ when the incident angle changes about 0.2. When  $\varepsilon$  is smaller than  $\varepsilon_E = \tan^{-1}(H/L)$ , the refracted beam  $S_1$ reaches directly to the surface B as shown in Fig.1 (BL case). When  $\mathcal{E}$  is larger than  $\mathcal{E}_E$ , the beam of  $S_2$  is reflected to the beam of  $S_3$  at the bottom surface C. The beam of  $S_3$  reaches to the surface B (BBL case). The dispersion angle of incident beam in the present experiment is about 5 arcsec and the incident beam can be regard as a spherical wave. The two beams corresponding  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are excited simultaneously so that the interference occurs between these two beams at the side surface B. The calculated results (red line) of  $P_h^{'}$  using Wagner's approach [3] are also shown in Fig.2 (a). The peaks of the measured interference fringe are well reproduced by the calculated ones except for the peak at x = H. The peak at x = H cannot be explained by the interference effect but can be explained by the confined beam effect [4], because the observed peak width is much narrower than that of interference fringe. The above

results should be useful for designing a new type of X-ray interferometer.

This work was partly supported by the "High-Tech Research Center" Project for Private Universities: 2004-2008 matching fund subsidy from MEXT and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (19540344) from MEXT.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of Bragg-(Bragg)<sup>m</sup>-Laue case with m=0 and 1. L is the distance from the incident point to the side surface B.



Fig. 2. Interference fringes of  $P_h$ . (a) Intensity distributions and (b) Photograph of  $P_h$ . L=891  $\mu$  m.

## **References**

- [1] T. Fukamachi et al., JJAP 43,L865(2004).
- [2] T. Fukamachi et al., JJAP 44,L787(2005).
- [3] H. Wagner: Z. Phys. 146,127(1956).
- [4] T. Fukamachi et al., JJAP 45,2830(2006).

\*q6006qqp@sit.jp