The Diversity of Network Topology Composed of Zn(II) and Multi-Interactive Ligand TPHAP⁻ via Weak Intermolecular Interaction

Tatsuhiro Kojima, Yumi Yakiyama, and Masaki Kawano* The Division of Advanced Materials Science, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), 77 Cheongam-Ro, Nam-Gu, Pohang, Gyeongbuk, 790-784, Korea

1 Introduction

In coordination polymers, not only the coordination geometry of metal ions and the shape of organic ligands but also weak intermolecular interactions like hydrogen bond and π - π interactions play a crucial role for making various network topology. Even same components of metal ions and organic ligands can produce several kinds of networks depending on an energy landscape directed by experimental conditions, e.g. solvent, guest molecule, temperature, reaction speed, and so on. In this study, we investigated solvent effects on network topology by using our multi-interactive ligand, 2,5,8-tri(4pyridyl)-1,3,4,6,7,9-hexaazaphenalene which can induce weak intermolecular interactions during selfassembly.

2 Experiment

The layering diffusion method of a methanol solution of ZnI2 into a MeOH-guest solution of K⁺TPHAP⁻ produced single crystals. Totally seven types of different network crystals were obtained depending on solvent and the mixing ratio (MeOH/additive solvent): [ZnI(TPHAP)] 3.5CH₃OH (from MeOH/DMA), (1) [ZnCl_{0.5}I_{0.5}(TPHAP)H₂O] $7H_2O$ (2)(from MeOH/DMA+water), [ZnI(TPHAP)] 3PhOH 2CH₃OH (3) (from MeOH/phenol (< 33%)), [ZnI(TPHAP)] 5PhOH 2.5CH₃OH (4) (from MeOH/phenol (> 50%)), [ZnI(TPHAP)] 2PhNO₂ (from 6CH₃OH MeOH/nitrobenzene) (5), [ZnI(TPHAP)CH₃OH] 3PhNH₂ MeOH/aniline), and (6) (from [(ZnI)₂(TPHAP)(HCON(CH₃)₂)₃(CH₃OH)₂]⁺[(ZnI₂)₂(TPH AP)(HCON(CH₃)₂)]⁻ (HCON(CH₃)₂) 4CH₃OH (7) (from MeOH/ DMF).

3 Results and Discussion

We obtained four kinds of topology (interpenetrating 6^3 -hcb, non-interpenetrating 6^3 -hcb, interpenetrating 10^3 srs, and interpenetrating 8^3 -etf) except for 7. All networks are composed of the same component, ZnI(TPHAP), in which both ZnI⁺ and TPHAP⁻ act as 3connected nodes. Topological mechanism was explained on the basis of symmetry of node and the weak intermolecular interactions between TPHAP⁻ and guest molecules. Because 1 was obtained from the nonaromatic solvent system (methanol/DMA), π-π interaction may dominate intermolecular interactions



Figure 1. Diversity of ZnI(TPHAP) networks

within only TPHAP⁻ molecules, followed by interpenetrating network formation. On the other hand, because **3** and **6** were obtained from aromatic solvent (methanol/phenol and methanol/aniline, respectively), the solvent molecules were encapsulated in a void via π - π interaction with TPHAP⁻, leading to non-interpenetrating network.

The 10^3 -srs network **4**, which is strong isotropic symmetry, obtained from phenol-rich solution (> 50% volumetric ratio) has a large void occupied by solvent through hydrogen bond and π - π interaction, in which phenol prefers hydrogen bond to π - π interaction, probably preventing TPHAP dimer formation. Especially, although **3** and **4** were obtained from the same solvent system (PhOH/MeOH), different networks were formed due to the different solvent ratio. This fact indicates that a slight difference in experimental conditions makes a big difference in networking thanks to multi-interactivity of TPHAP⁻ to weak intermolecular interactions.

5 forms 3-periodic 2-fold interpenetrating 8^3 -etf network which is the first example in the database of TOPOS& RCSR. Among all, thanks to nitrobenzene double layer, only **5** has a pore window large enough for guest molecules to enter.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that TPHAP⁻ can be an excellent probe for weak intermolecular interactions and can form various networks depending on coexisting molecules.

References

[1] T. Kojima *et al.*, *CrystEngComm.* **16**, 6335 (2013). *mkawano@postech.ac.kr